

APPENDIX C - Future of Oakover Road slips lane – Consultation Outcome

Summary of Email Submissions for the Consultation period 24 June – 9 July 2017

Date	Submitter	Comments // Summary of Submission	Does the submitter support the permanent closure of the slip lane?
21/06/2017	K Dundas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The central median works have resulted in a noticeable reduction in vehicle speeds this project would add to the overall improvement to the precinct • Supports additional trees and the choice of material • 'Are there plans to develop additional public open space in the junction area?' 	Yes
21/06/2017	J Copsey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using native trees such as dwarf gum trees or Eucalyptus caesia instead of deciduous trees for the site 	Yes
23/06/17	C Erlandsen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project will soften the precinct • Has concerns with the stone edged steps, believes this will attract skate boarders • Use metal strips or pins embedded into the stone to deter skate boarders 	Yes
21/06/17	P Papas	Add understorey plantings and native trees such as eucalypt trees like yellow gums instead of deciduous trees	Yes
01/07/17	A Wood	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase in traffic congestion from the closure of the slip lane • Pop up Park was not used • Trees were not maintained and died • Should not be a meeting place for the Preston Junction community • Rate payers money should be used else where 	No
24/06/17	B Li	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the idea of more trees planted • Does not support the bike repair station • Use of tram tracks in surface may be a tripping hazard 	Yes

26/07/17	D Weir	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the proposed tree plantings • Believes that oak trees will provide shade and beauty to the site 	Yes
29/06/17	K Stavrakis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re open the slip lane • Pop up Park did not work • Rate payers money should be used else where • The closure of the slip lane has made it difficult to turn into Oakover Road and increased traffic congestion 	No
03/07/17	N Passaportis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the proposed tree plantings • Believes the project will have a calming effect on traffic as well as become a safer crossing for pedestrians and motorists 	Yes
1/7/17	Darebin Rate Payer Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reopen the slip lane • It is unsafe to have an urban park in this location • Rate payers money should be used else where • Agrees with more trees are needed • Suggests a pedestrian crossing with a marked cycle way with lights for cyclists is needed for the intersection • More seats and bins within the precinct • Suggests repainting the current markings on the slip lane and the addition of a sign suggesting that large trucks are to be prohibited from using the slip lane • Has concerns of multiple constructions occurring at the same time and the further impact this will have on safety. 	No
02/07/17	P Cargill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the consultation process • Slip lane was dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists prior to its closure • Supports infrastructure that will deal with the upcoming building apartments and new businesses • Supported the Pop Up Park • Changing the terminology used to describe the project to assist people understanding its intended use 	Yes
22/07/17	D Mitsis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reopen the slip lane • Has concerns of the major traffic congestion caused by the median strips on High Street which can bank up to Bell Street 	No

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is concerned with the tree choice along High Street, believes this will interfere with traffic • Leslie Park (in Reservoir) should be upgraded • Would like council to consider upgrading parks in Reservoir 	
04/07/17	J.D. Blake	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re open the slip lane • Believes it is more dangerous having the slip lane closed for cyclists, vehicles • Pop up Park did not work • Trees were not maintained and died • There is traffic congestion problems on to Oakover Road, believes this will be a greater issue if the slip lane is permanently removed • Believes that The Junction Urban Master Plan(JUMP) needs to be considered carefully given the complex intersection of the slip lane 	No
8/7/17	M & J De Bono	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re open the slip lane • Pop up Park did not work, believes it was too dangerous • Believes that the original slip lane was placed to assist with traffic flow • The current yellow and black poles restrict visibility • ‘Has there been an accident while the slip lane was open?’ • Has concerns of the safety of the area due to the growing urban population and the rising amount of apartments in the area. • Believes that there are other parks and open spaces that are much safer 	No
29/06/17	P Sheridan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pop Up Park did not work • Suggests a permanent space is created that the left turn (north travelling) lane is to be more driver friendly. 	Conditional Support
12/07/17	L Molina	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic conditions at intersection is unsafe • Not appropriate place for open space. 	No
15/07/17	J Hines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic conditions at intersection is unsafe • The traffic calming works have increased congestion • There is traffic overflow onto Warrs Ave, for those commuters wishing to avoid the Oakover/High Street intersection. • This rat-run traffic is not obeying speed restrictions 	N/a

